Princeton 10/18/91

Operators and Obviation Howard Lasnik University of Connecticut

- (1)a John is too stubborn to talk to b John is too stubborn to talk to Mary
- (2) *John_i is too stubborn [Op_i [PRO_i to talk to \underline{t}_i]]
- (3)a ?John is too stubborn to tell Bill to talk to b John is too stubborn to tell Bill to talk to Mary
- (4) John; is too stubborn [Op; [PRO; to tell Mary; [PRO; to talk to ti]]]
- (5) John; is too stubborn [Op; [PRO; to talk to ti]]
- (6) An R-expression is A-free (in the domain of the head of its maximal chain).
- (7) *Who left after you spoke to
- (8) *Who_i [\underline{t}_i left [after [Op_i [you spoke to \underline{t}_i]]]]
- (9) Fred has himself to blame
- (10) Fred, has himself, [Op, [PRO, to blame \underline{t}_i]]
- (11) Fred has Mary to blame
- (12) Fred has his high-school physics teacher to blame
- (13) Fred has himself to thank
- (14) Fred has Mary to talk to
- (15) For a chain C=(a₁,...,a_n), and for an expression Z where Z is not a member of C and Z is in an A position, if Z is dependent upon a_i, then Z does not c-command any member a_j of C.
- (16) X is dependent upon Y if:
 - (i) Y is an antecedent of X, or(ii) for some Z, X is dependent on Z, and Z is dependent on Y.

- (17) John is too stubborn [Op [PRO to talk to t]]
- (18) *John is too stubborn [Op [PRO to talk to t]]
- (19) Who [t left after [Op [you spoke to t']]]
- (20) Fred has himself [Op [PRO to blame t]]
- (21) John is easy for himself to talk to
- (22) John_i is easy [for himself_i] [Op_i [PRO_i to talk to \underline{t}_i]]
- (23) John is easy [for himself] [Op [PRO to talk to t]]
- (24) For a chain $C=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$, and for an expression Z where Z is not a member of C and Z is in an A position, if Z is linked to a_1 , then Z does not c-command any member a_1 of C.
- (25) *Who [did you say [t' [t left [after [Op [you spoke to t'']]]]]]
- (26) In (25), C is (Who, Op, \underline{t}''), and $\underline{t} = Z$ c-commands \underline{t}'' and is dependent upon Who. But \underline{t} apparently is not linked to Who.
- (27) *Who [did you say [[t left [after [Op [you spoke to t']]]]]]
- (28) John is easy [Op [for [himself to talk to t]]]
- (29)??John is too boring for himself to talk to
- (30) John is too boring for Mary to talk to
- (31)a John is easy for Mary to talk to b John is easy to talk to, for Mary
- (32)a John is eager for there to be an investigation b *John is eager to be an investigation, for there

(33)a John is too boring for Mary to talk to b ??John is too boring to talk to, for Mary

- (34) Mary believes John to be too boring to talk to
- (35) John believes himself to be too boring to talk to
- (36) John_i believes [himself_i to be too boring [Op_i [PRO_i to talk to $\underline{t_i}$]]
- (37) John believes himself to be too boring to tell himself about
- (38) It is important [PRO to wash oneself/*himself]
- (39) John believes [himself to be too boring [Op [PRO to talk to t]]
- (40) John believes [himself to be too boring [Op [PRO to talk to \underline{t}]
- (41) John believes Mary to be too boring to talk to
- (42) John believes Mary to be too boring to tell himself about
- (43) John believes Mary is too boring to talk to
- 44) John believes he is too boring to talk to
- (45) *The man who; I hired $\underline{\mathtt{t}}_{\mathtt{i}}$ because $\mathtt{Op}_{\mathtt{i}}$ he; said $\underline{\mathtt{t}}_{\mathtt{i}}$ would work hard

Bibliography

- Barss, A. (1986) <u>Chains and Anaphoric Dependence</u> MIT diss. Browning, M. (1987) <u>Null Operator Constructions</u> MIT diss.
- Chomsky, N. (1977) "On WH-Movement" in Culicover, Wasow, and Akmajian, Formal Syntax Academic Press.
- Chomsky, N. (1981) Lectures on Government and Binding Foris.
- Chomsky, N. (1986a) Knowledge of Language Praeger.
- Chomsky, N. (1986b) Barriers MIT Press.
- Cinque, G. (1990) Types of A-Dependencies MIT Press.
- Contreras, H. (1984) "A Note on Parasitic Gaps" LI 15,4.
- Epstein, S. D. (1983) "On the Interpretation of the Base-Generated Empty Categories in English" ms. UConn.
- Higginbotham, J. (1983) "Logical Form, Binding, and Nominals" LI 14,3.
- Higginbotham, J. (1985) "On Semantics" LI 16,4.
- Lasnik, H. (1985) "A Note on Illicit NP Movement" LI 16,3.
- Lasnik, H. and R. Fiengo (1974) "Complement Object Deletion" LI 5,4.
- Lasnik, H. and T. Stowell (1991) "Weakest Crossover" LI 22.4.
- Lasnik, H. and J. Uriagereka (1986) A Course in GB Syntax MIT Press.
- Rizzi, L. (1986) "On Chain Formation" in Borer, <u>The Grammar of</u>
 Pronominal Clitics Academic Press.
- Safir, K. (1987) "The Anti-C-Command Condition on Parasitic Gaps"
 LI 18,4.
- Saito, M. (1991) "Extraposition and Parasitic Gaps" in
 - Georopoulos and Ishihara, <u>Interdisciplinary Approaches to Language</u>: Essays in Honor of S.-Y. Kuroda Kluwer.
- Williams, E. (1986/1987) "The ATB Theory of Parasitic Gaps" The Linguistic Review 6,3.